

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,101	NAKAZATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Zucker	1621	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Paul A. Zucker.

(3) _____.

(2) Susan J. Mack.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/16/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1,30

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Ms. Mack to indicate that the application was almost in condition for allowance except that claim 1 had both 112 1st and 2nd paragraph problems and claim 30 was non-statutory. The Examiner requested, and received, authorization to cancel claims 1 and 30 by Examiner's Amendment as follows:

The claims have been amended as follows:
Claims 1 and 30 have been canceled. .